arXiv:1212.1769v1 [gr-qc] 8 Dec 2012

Isotropic universe with almost scale-invariant fourth-order gravity

Hans-Jürgen Schmidt and Douglas Singleton

December 8, 2012

Institut für Mathematik, Universität Potsdam, Germany[1]
Am Neuen Palais 10, D-14469 Potsdam, hjschmi@rz.uni-potsdam.de

Abstract

We study a broad class of isotropic vacuum cosmologies in fourth-order gravity under the condition that the gravitational Lagrangian be scale-invariant or almost scale-invariant. The gravitational Lagrangians considered will be of the form $L = f(R) + k(G)$ where R and G are the Ricci and Gauss-Bonnet scalars respectively. Specifically we take $f(R) = \alpha R^{2n}$ and $k(G) = \beta G^n$ or $k(G) = \beta G \ln G$ with α, β and n arbitrary. We find solutions in closed form for a spatially flat Friedmann space-time and interpret their asymptotic early-time and late-time behaviour as well as their inflationary stages.

One unique example which we discuss is the case of a very small negative value of the parameter β in the Lagrangian $L = R^2/3 + \beta G \ln G$ which leads to the replacement of the exact de Sitter solution from $L = R^2$ (being a local attractor) to a power-law inflation exact solution $a(t) = t^p = t^{-3/\beta}$ also representing a local attractor. This shows how one can modify the dynamics from de Sitter to power-law inflation by the addition of the $G \ln G$-term.

Keywords: Gauss-Bonnet term, Friedmann space-time,
scale-invariant gravity, fourth-order gravity
AMS-Classification: 83F05, 83D05, 83C15, 53Z05, 85A40

---

[1] Permanent address of DS: Physics Department, California State University, Fresno CA 93740 USA, dougs@csufresno.edu

1 Introduction

From the huge class of theories of gravitation which can be considered for describing and explaining the early evolution of the Universe, it is the subclass of scale-invariant ones which plays as prominent role. The reason for this prominence is that almost all physical theories and their resulting cosmologies have some limiting regime which is free from any scales.

In the present paper we investigate a large class of vacuum cosmologies which include not only scale-invariant theories but also *almost* scale-invariant theories (we will define shortly the meaning of "almost scale-invariant theories"). The Lagrangians which we consider are of the form

$$L = f(R) + k(G), \tag{1.1}$$

where R is the curvature scalar and

$$G = R_{ijkl}R^{ijkl} - 4R_{ij}R^{ij} + R^2$$

is the Gauss-Bonnet scalar. By placing restrictions on the form of the functions $f(R)$ and $k(G)$ we will obtain theories which are scale-invariant and almost scale-invariant. We will focus on cosmological solutions to these scale-invariant and almost scale-invariant Lagrangians – in particular spatially flat Friedmann space-times. We obtain general features for these almost scale-invariant cosmologies, and for certain cases we are able to completely integrate the resulting Friedmann-like equations to confirm older results and to obtain new exact, closed form solutions in terms of the Friedmann metric scale factor, $a(t)$.

We first give the exact definition of what we mean by a scale-invariant gravitational Lagrangian. A theory of gravitation with a geometric[2] Lagrangian $L = L(g_{ij})$ is called scale-invariant if a change of the scale in the metric g_{ij} (i.e. $g_{ij} \to e^{2c} g_{ij}$ with c a constant) induces a change in the scale of the Lagrangian given by

$$L(e^{2c} g_{ij}) = e^{mc} L(g_{ij}) \ , \tag{1.2}$$

where m is a constant. This has the consequence that if some particular metric g_{ij} is a vacuum solution then so too is the homothetically related metric $e^{2c} g_{ij}$. Einstein's theory of general relativity is scale-invariant in this sense, but only if the cosmological term is absent[3]. Another example of a scale-invariant theory follows from the Lagrangian

---

[2] We allow L to depend on the metric and its partial derivatives up to arbitrary order.

$$L = R^{2n} \cdot f(G/R^2)$$

with a constant n and an arbitrary (transcendental) function f. However, this class is still too large to be tractable.

Next we define what we mean by almost scale-invariant. We call the Lagrangian L almost scale-invariant, if instead of eq. (1.2) we have the weaker condition

$$L(e^{2c} g_{ij}) = e^{mc} L(g_{ij}) + v(c) \cdot H(g_{ij}), \tag{1.3}$$

where m and c have the same meaning as above; $v(c)$ is an arbitrary function; H is a total divergence which therefore does not contribute to the field equations. Since H does not contribute to the equations of motion we find that the almost scale-invariant Lagrangians as defined in eq. (1.3) also have the feature that "if some particular metric g_{ij} is a vacuum solution then so too is the metric $e^{2c} g_{ij}$".

We now specialize eq. (1.1) to the case of Lagrangians which are scale-invariant. The following form of the Lagrangian which is a power law form in R and G with n, α and β constants

$$L = \alpha R^{2n} + \beta G^n. \tag{1.4}$$

is scale-invariant, and no further Lagrangians share this property. This Lagrangian represents a closed set of functions i.e. all limits of elements of this class of functions are still within this class.

We now expand our class of Lagrangians to include almost scale-invariant Lagrangians as defined in eq. (1.3). Since G is a total divergence, we can add some multiple of it to the scale-invariant Lagrangian eq. (1.4) like

$$L = \alpha R^{2n} + \hat{\beta} G^n + \hat{\gamma} G = \alpha R^{2n} + G \cdot (\hat{\beta} G^{n-1} + \hat{\gamma}). \tag{1.5}$$

The Lagrangian in eq. (1.5) is different from eq. (1.4) in that it does not represent a closed set of functions. This can be seen as follows: We take the limit $n \to 1$ in (1.5) while α remains constant and $\hat{\beta} = -\hat{\gamma} = \beta/(n-1)$. We get

$$\lim_{n \to 1} \alpha R^{2n} + \beta G \cdot \frac{G^{n-1} - 1}{n-1} = \alpha R^2 + \beta G \ln G.$$

---

[3] or is interpreted as part of the matter action

In arriving at this result we have defined $n-1=\varepsilon$ and $G=e^z$ and used

$$\lim_{n\to 1}\frac{G^{n-1}-1}{n-1}=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{G^{\varepsilon}-1}{\varepsilon}=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{e^{\varepsilon z}-1}{\varepsilon}=z=\ln G.$$

Result: The almost scale-invariant Lagrangians, as defined by eq. (1.3), expand the class of scale-invariant Lagrangian given in eq. (1.4) to include a Lagrangian of the following form

$$L=\alpha R^2+\beta G\ln G+\gamma G. \tag{1.6}$$

Now we note that, since the argument of the logarithm in eq. (1.6) should be positive and dimensionless we should replace $\ln G$ by $\ln(G/G_0)$ where G_0 is some constant $G_0\neq 0$. Further since G is a total divergence we can absorb the term γG in eq. (1.6) into the $G\ln G$-term via a suitable redefinition of G_0. Thus the almost scale-invariant Lagrangian can be written as

$$L=\alpha R^2+\beta G\ln G \tag{1.7}$$

with constants α and β fulfilling $\beta\neq 0$. The form of the Lagrangian given in eq. (1.7) that follows from the condition of being almost scale-invariant given in eq. (1.3) makes this case worth discussing since the condition of almost scale-invariant gives a very specific form of the Lagrangian.

Before moving to the detailed calculations we give a very brief review of other work in this area. Cosmological models where the action depends on the Gauss-Bonnet scalar G are discussed in [1], [2], [3], and [4]. In [5], exact solutions for $k(G)=G^{\beta}$ are given. Further papers on this topic are [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], and [18]. In [19], solutions for $L=R+\sqrt{G}$ with a Friedmann scale factor of power law form (i.e. $a(t)\propto t^p$) are given. In [20], the Lagrangian $L=R^n+\beta G^{n/2}$ is preferred. Further models are given in [21], whereas in [22] the case $k(G)=G^n+G\ln G$ is discussed.

Analogous models for $f(R)$-gravity can be found in [23], where the case $L=R^{3/2}$ is related to Mach's principle. In [24] an exact solution for $L=R^2$ is given. Further models are discussed in [25], [26], [27], [28], [29], [30], [31], [32], [33], [34], and [35]. In [36] the case $f(R)=R^{3/2}$ is studied. Newer models of this kind can be found in [37], [38], [39], [40], [41], [42], [43], [44], [45], [46], [47], [48], [49], [50], [51], [52], [53], [54], [55], [56], [57], [58], [59], [60], [61], [62], [63], [64], [65], [66], [67], [68], [69], [70], [71], [72], and [73].

The conformal Weyl theory, especially the value of the perihelion advance in this theory, has been discussed in [74], [75], [76], [77], [78], [79], [80], [81], [82], and [83]. For theories in lower-dimensional space-times see e.g. [84], [85], and [86].

2 On the Gauss-Bonnet scalar

The field equations for the Lagrangian $L = f(R)$ are given by (see for example eq. (2.27) of [35] and the surrounding discussion)

$$0 = L_R R_{ij} - g_{ij} L/2 + g_{ij} \Box L_R - (L_R)_{;ij} \quad \text{where} \quad L_R = df/dR \, . \tag{2.1}$$

Since the case $L = f(R)$ has been widely studied we will not go into further details here but simply refer the interested reader to the overview [35].

The case when $L = k(G)$ is much less known than the case $L = f(R)$ so we give some further details here. For the spatially flat Friedmann metric (given below in eq. (3.1)) the Gauss-Bonnet scalar G becomes

$$G = 24h^2(h^2 + \dot{h}) = 24h^4(1 + \gamma) \ , \tag{2.2}$$

where h is the Hubble parameter $h = \dot{a}/a$ and $\gamma = \dot{h}/h^2$. For the Lagrangian $k(G)$ with $k_G = dk/dG$, the corresponding vacuum field equation is given in eq. (3.3) of [17] as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} k(G) - 2k_G R R^{ij} + 4k_G R^i_k R^{kj} - 2k_G R^{iklm} R^j{}_{klm} \\ -4k_G R^{iklj} R_{kl} + 2R k_G^{;ij} - 2g^{ij} R \Box k_G - 4R^{ik} k_{G;k}^{;j} \\ -4R^{jk} k_{G;k}^{;i} + 4R^{ij} \Box k_G + 4g^{ij} R^{kl} k_{G;kl} - 4R^{ikjl} k_{G;kl} \, . \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Eqs. (A4), (A5) of [17], specialized to the space-time dimension $n = 4$, give rise to

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ijkl} = C_{ijkl} + \frac{1}{4} \left(R_{ik} g_{jl} + R_{jl} g_{ik} - R_{il} g_{jk} - R_{jk} g_{il} \right) \\ - \frac{1}{6} R \left(g_{ik} g_{jl} - g_{il} g_{jk} \right) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

C_{ijkl} is the Weyl tensor and we define

$$C^2 = C^{ijkl} C_{ijkl}$$

and

$$Y^{ij} = R^{iklm} R^{j}{}_{klm} + 2R^{iklj} R_{kl} \,. \tag{2.5}$$

With this notation, the first (unnumbered) equation of the appendix of [17] reads

$$C^{iklm} C_{jklm} = \frac{1}{4}\, \delta^i_j \, C^2 \,. \tag{2.6}$$

Inserting eqs. (2.4) and (2.6) into eq. (2.5) we get

$$Y^{ij} = \frac{1}{4} g^{ij} C^2 + \frac{1}{6} R^2 g^{ij} - R R^{ij} - \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} R_{kl} R^{kl} + 2R^{ik} R^{j}{}_{k} \,. \tag{2.7}$$

Further it holds that

$$R_{ijkl} R^{ijkl} = C^2 + 2R_{kl} R^{kl} - \frac{1}{3} R^2 \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$G = C^2 - 2R_{kl} R^{kl} + \frac{2}{3} R^2 \,. \tag{2.9}$$

With these notations we can rewrite eq. (2.3) as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = {} & \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} \left(k(G) - G k_G \right) + 2R k_G^{;ij} - 2g^{ij} R \Box k_G - 4R^{ik} k_{G;k}^{;j} \\ & -4R^{jk} k_{G;k}^{;i} + 4R^{ij} \Box k_G + 4g^{ij} R^{kl} k_{G;kl} - 4R^{ikjl} k_{G;kl} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Inserting $k(G) = G^n$ into eq. (2.10) we get with $k_G = nG^{n-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = {} & -\frac{n-1}{2} g^{ij} G^n + 2nR (G^{n-1})^{;ij} - 2n g^{ij} R \Box (G^{n-1}) - 4n R^{ik} (G^{n-1})^{;j}_{;k} \\ & -4n \left(R^{jk} (G^{n-1})^{;i}_{;k} - R^{ij} \Box (G^{n-1}) - g^{ij} R^{kl} (G^{n-1})_{;kl} + R^{ikjl} (G^{n-1})_{;kl} \right) . \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Inserting $k(G) = G \cdot \ln G$ into eq. (2.10) we get with $k_G = 1 + \ln G$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = {} & -\frac{1}{2} g^{ij} G + 2R (\ln G)^{;ij} - 2g^{ij} R \Box (\ln G) - 4R^{ik} (\ln G)^{;j}_{;k} \\ & -4R^{jk} (\ln G)^{;i}_{;k} + 4R^{ij} \Box (\ln G) + 4g^{ij} R^{kl} (\ln G)_{;kl} - 4R^{ikjl} (\ln G)_{;kl} . \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

3 General cosmological solutions

In this section we use the background developed in the previous section to give a general study of spatially flat Friedmann space-times for the scale-invariant and almost scale-invariant theories cataloged in the introduction.

In subsection 3.1 we study the cosmology for the Lagrangian $L = R^{2n}$; in subsection 3.2 we study the cosmology for the Lagrangians $L = G^n$ and $L = G \ln G$; in subsection 3.3 and 3.4 we study the cosmology for the combinations $L = \alpha R^{2n} + \beta G^n$ (scale-invariant) and $L = \alpha R^2 + \beta G \ln G$ (almost scale-invariant) respectively.

We start by setting up our system and notation. First, the cosmological metric we use is the spatially flat Friedmann space-time given as

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)\left(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2\right) \tag{3.1}$$

with positive cosmic scale factor $a(t)$. The dot denotes d/dt, $h = \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble parameter, and $R = 6(2h^2 + \dot{h})$ is the curvature scalar.[4] Without loss of generality we assume $h \geq 0$. If this is not the case then it is always possible to invert the time direction so as to get $h \geq 0$. If h appears in the denominator, this automatically includes the additional assumption, that $h \neq 0$. [5] It proves useful to define the function

$$\gamma = \dot{h}/h^2 = -\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{h}\right) \tag{3.2}$$

which shall be used to replace $\dot{h}$ in subsequent formulas. In terms of γ we get $R = 6h^2(2 + \gamma)$. The deceleration parameter (i.e. $q = -\ddot{a}a/(\dot{a})^2$) is related to γ via $q = -1 - \gamma$.

Sometimes it proves useful to use $\tau = \ln a$ as an alternative time coordinate. With a dash denoting $d/d\tau$, we get with $\dot{\tau} = h$ the following formula:

$$\gamma' \equiv \frac{d\gamma}{d\tau} = \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \cdot \frac{dt}{d\tau} = \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{h} \tag{3.3}$$

We now give some results which will be useful in dealing with the scale invariant Lagrangians of the form given in eq. (1.4) or almost scale-invariant Lagangians of the form given in eq. (1.7). First we note that, assuming a spatially flat Friedmann metric of the form given in eq. (3.1), that the vacuum field equation for a Lagrangian of the form $L = F(G, R)$, where F is a function of R and G, is (see eq. (15) of reference [5])

---

[4] If the metric signature is changed to $(+ - - -)$ then R must be replaced by $-R$, whereas G remains the same. In regard to the ambiguities in the sign of R often found in the literature we note that we define R such that for the standard 2-sphere we always get $R > 0$.

[5] This is not a real restriction, as a constant function $a(t)$ is the trivial Minkowski space-time with $h \equiv 0$, and solutions, where $h(t) = 0$ at isolated points t only, can be matched by pieces with $h \neq 0$. In other words: if $h(t) = 0$ at isolated points t then these are always connected to regions where $h(t) \neq 0$.

$$0 = GF_G - F - 24h^3\dot{F}_G + 6(\dot{h} + h^2)F_R - 6h\dot{F}_R \tag{3.4}$$

where $F_G = \partial F/\partial G$ and $F_R = \partial F/\partial R$. Eq. (3.4) is the 00-component of the vacuum field equation for the Lagrangian $L = F(G, R)$. All other components of the vacuum field equation are fulfilled if eq. (3.4) is valid. For the scale-invariant Lagrangian from eq. (1.4)

$$L = \alpha R^{2n} + \beta G^n$$

eq. (3.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \alpha \cdot 3^n (2+\gamma)^{2n-2} \Big(& 2n(\gamma^2 + 3\gamma + 2) - (2+\gamma)^2 - 2n(2n-1)(\gamma' + 4\gamma + 2\gamma^2) \Big) \\ & + \beta \cdot (n-1) 2^n (1+\gamma)^{n-2} \Big((1+\gamma)^2 - n(\gamma' + 4\gamma + 4\gamma^2) \Big) \, . \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Before going in details we discuss some special cases. First we will exclude the case of Minkowski space-time so that we will have (with the possible exception of singular t-values) $h > 0$ which implies that γ is always well defined. Second, we exclude $R = 0$ which gives $\gamma = -2$ which in turn implies that $a(t) \propto t^{1/2}$ in eq. (3.1), i.e. one has a radiation-dominated Friedmann space-time, which is a self-similar geometry. Eq. (3.1) with $a(t) \propto t^{1/2}$ is a solution for any $L = R^{2n}$ with $n \geq 1$; is not a solution for $0 \leq n < 1$; is out of the range of applicability of the theory for $n < 0$. The remaining self-similar spatially flat Friedmann space-times for the metric in eq. (3.1) have $a(t) \propto t^p$ with $p \neq 0$ and $p \neq 1/2$. This scale factor $a(t) = t^p$ implies a constant γ, namely $\gamma = -1/p$.

3.1 Cosmological solutions in R^{2n}-gravity

For $L = R^{2n}$, i.e., with $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$, eq. (3.5) reduces to

$$0 = -4 - 4\gamma - \gamma^2 + 2n(\gamma^2 + 3\gamma + 2) - 2n(2n-1)(\gamma' + 4\gamma + 2\gamma^2) \, . \tag{3.6}$$

For $n = 0$, no solution exists. The self-similar spatially flat Friedmann models are found by inserting $\gamma = -1/p$ into eq. (3.6). This leads to

$$0 = 4p^2(n-1) + 2p(8n^2 - 7n + 2) - (2n-1)(4n-1) \, . \tag{3.7}$$

For $n = 1$ we get only the already excluded case $p = 1/2$. The $n = 1$ case (i.e. $L = R^2$) also has a de Sitter space-time solution, but since de Sitter is not self-similar, and since here we are focused on self-similar solution there are no solutions which meet our conditions for $n = 1$.

Next, for $n = 1/2$ (i.e. $L = R$) we get Einstein's theory, which includes only the already excluded cases $p = 0$ and $p = 1/2$. This too, is clear, as Einstein's theory is only of second order in contrast to the other cases which are of fourth order.

The last special case is $n = 1/4$: Here we get unexpectedly only the already excluded cases $p = 0$ and $p = 1/2$.

Let us now give the general solution of eq. (3.7): The already discussed value $p = 1/2$ is a solution for every value n, though a spurious one for $n < 1/2$.

Let us explain this in comparison with the field equation (2.1): In the notation used there, we have now $L_R = 2nR^{2n-1}$. For $n > 1/2$ we have $L_R = 0$ and $L = 0$ for every space-time fulfilling $R \equiv 0$. Thus, the fourth-order field equation (2.1) possessing 10 independent components in the general case, now degenerates to one single second order scalar field equation, namely $R = 0$. Sometimes, that value of R where this happens, is called a critical value of the curvature scalar. For $n < 1/2$ we have, on the other hand, a positive power of R in the denominator, when the expression $\Box L_R$ is calculated, so space-times with $R = 0$ should not be considered in that case.

In addition to the $p = 1/2$ solution of eq. (3.7) there is a second solution which depends on n and which we will denote by p_0. This other solution p_0, which has already been found and discussed in [28] and [32], is given by

$$p_0 = -\frac{(2n-1)(4n-1)}{2(n-1)} = -1 - 4n - \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{n-1}. \tag{3.8}$$

One immediately sees that $p_0 = 0$ for $n = 1/2$ and $n = 1/4$, as discussed before. For $n = 1$, as expected, no value p_0 exists. The only cases where $p_0 = 1/2$, i.e., that eq. (3.7) has the double solution 1/2, are $n = 0$ and $n = 5/8$.

For $1/4 < n < 1/2$ and for $n > 1$ we have $p_0 < 0$ leading to a sudden singularity (Big rip), i.e., $a(t) \to \infty$ and simultaneously $R(t) \to \infty$ as $t \to t_0 < \infty$ for a finite value t_0.

In order to get an idea of the behaviour of p_0 as a function of n, we look for its local maximum and minimum by evaluating the equation $dp_0/dn = 0$

from eq. (3.8). This occurs at $n = 1 \mp \sqrt{3/8} = \{0.3876;\ 1.6124\}$, where the $(-)$ is related to the local minimum and the $(+)$ is the local maximum. These values of n correspond to related values of p_0 as follows $p_0 = -5 \pm 2\sqrt{6} = \{-0.101;\ -9.899\}$.

Putting these results together shows that in the range $1/4 < n < 1/2$ we have $-0.101 \leq p_0 < 0$ and for $n > 1$ we have $p_0 \leq -9.899$. Power-law inflation (PLI) takes place if $p_0 \geq 1$. The equation $p_0 = 1$ gives with eq. (3.8) the solutions $n = (1 \pm \sqrt{3})/4 = \{0.683;\ -0.183\}$. Therefore, PLI takes place for $n < -0.183$ and in the interval $0.683 < n < 1$. Again this indicates (in the range $p_0 < 0$) that we may encounter a Big rip singularity.

Qualitatively one finds that the general solutions of eq. (3.6) have either self-similar solutions or de Sitter space-time solutions (which occurs for $n = 1$) as asymptotic attractors. To illustrate this for the case $n = 1$ (i.e. for $L = R^2$) we recall that a closed form solution was already found in reference [24]. This solution (with the condition $T \neq -1$) reads

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 + T^3\right)^{-2} dT^2 + \left(1 + T^3\right)^{-2/3} \cdot \left(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2\right). \tag{3.9}$$

In the limit $T \to \pm\infty$ it is asymptotically equal to

$$ds^2 = -T^{-6} dT^2 + T^{-2} \cdot \left(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2\right).$$

This is easily seen to be isometric to the Friedmann radiation model, i.e. to eq. (3.1) with $a(t) = \sqrt{t}$ for $t \to 0$. In the other limit, namely $T \to -1$, it proves useful to write $T = \tau - 1$ with a small and positive or negative value of τ. In leading order in τ one then gets from eq. (3.9) the asymptotic behaviour

$$ds^2 = -(3\tau)^{-2} d\tau^2 + (3\tau)^{-2/3} \cdot \left(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2\right).$$

With $3t = -\ln|\tau|$ we can show this to be the exact de Sitter geometry, i.e. eq. (3.1) with $a(t) = e^t$; here the region $\tau \to 0$ corresponds to the region $t \to \infty$. The exact solution eq. (3.9) confirms the general idea that the non-self-similar solutions of eq. (3.6) connect two different self-similar (or one self-similar and one de Sitter) solutions as asymptotic attractors.[6]

---

[6] The de Sitter space-times represent a one-parameter set of space-times parametrized by the Hubble parameter. Thus the difference between the case $n = 1$, which has de Sitter space-time solutions, and the other values of n with $n < 1$ is that for $n < 1$ every

For $n = 5/8$, the exceptional case where eq. (3.7) has the double solution $p = 1/2$, (see also subsection 4.2. below for a discussion of this case), a non-self-similar exact solution was given in [28]. Its metric reads

$$ds^2 = -a^2 \left((\ln a)^{-5/3} da^2 - dx^2 - dy^2 - dz^2 \right) . \tag{3.10}$$

Both for $a \to 0$ and for $a \to \infty$, the $\ln a$-term in this metric can be replaced by 1 to get the asymptotic behaviour. That means in both limits the asymptotic behaviour is

$$ds^2 = -a^2 \left(da^2 - dx^2 - dy^2 - dz^2 \right)$$

which is again, as expected, the Friedmann radiation model, i.e. eq. (3.1) with $a(t) = \sqrt{t}$.

A further non-self-similar exact solution from [28] exists for the case $n = 1/4$, (see also eq. (4.17) below for a further discussion of this case). Its metric is

$$ds^2 = -a^2 \left(\exp(a^6) da^2 - dx^2 - dy^2 - dz^2 \right) . \tag{3.11}$$

For the remaining values n, [28] gives the solution

$$ds^2 = -a^2 \left((a^b + 1)^{2f/b} da^2 - dx^2 - dy^2 - dz^2 \right) \tag{3.12}$$

with $f = -2 + 1/p_0$ and $b = (8n - 5)/(2n - 1)$. For $n \to 1/4$ one has $f \to \infty$, and for $n \to 5/8$ one has $b \to 0$, thus explaining the extra role of these two values of n.

The metric in eq. (3.12) is not easily transformed to a synchronized time coordinate since finding the transformation between equation (3.12) and (3.1) is not usually possible to do in simple, closed form.

We now count the degrees of freedom. For a general solution of a fourth-order field equation and one free unknown (in this case $a(t)$) one expects 4 initial values, namely $a(0)$ and the first three derivative of $a(t)$ at $t = 0$. The remaining information is contained in the field equations. However the 00-component of the field equation is a constraint, reducing the order by one. Thus the general solution is expected to have 3 free constants – one is a t-translation, the second is multiplication of t by some factor, the third is multiplication of $a(t)$ by a constant factor. In this sense, for every fixed

---

initially expanding solution approaches the PLI-exact solution as $t \to \infty$. And for $n = 1$ one can formulate the attractor property of the exact de Sitter inflation as follows: every initially expanding solution approaches *one member* of the one-parameter set of de Sitter space-times as $t \to \infty$.

value of n, eq. (3.12) is a general solution even if no free parameter is explicitly shown. It is possible to compensate both the multiplicative time and the multiplicative space as follows: The multiplication of t by a non-vanishing factor can be compensated by a scale-transformation of the metric bringing solutions to solutions as the field equation is scale-invariant, and the multiplication of a by a constant factor can be compensated by multiplication of x, y and z by a constant factor.[7]

Now we test in which sense eq. (3.12) can be used in the case $n = 1$. For $n \to 1$ we get $p_0 \to \pm\infty$, i.e. $f = -2$ and $b = 3$. Then metric (3.12) transforms to

$$ds^2 = -a^2 \left((a^3 + 1)^{-4/3} da^2 - dx^2 - dy^2 - dz^2 \right).$$

In principle, this metric should be isometric to metric (3.9). A closer inspection shows the following: this metric with $a > 0$ is isometric to (3.9) in the region $T < -1$.

As final observation we mention the fact, that the limit $n \to \pm\infty$ is possible for metric (3.12). It leads via eq. (3.8), $p_0 = \mp\infty$ to $f = -2$ and $b = 4$, i.e. to

$$ds^2 = -a^2 \left((a^4 + 1)^{-1} da^2 - dx^2 - dy^2 - dz^2 \right).$$

In synchronized time this equals

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + \sinh(2t)(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2)$$

which is asymptotically de Sitter space-time as $t \to \infty$. This metric represents an exact solution of the equation $\gamma' + 4\gamma + 2\gamma^2 = 0$ which itself is the outcome of the limit $n \to \infty$ applied to eq. (3.6) after it has been divided by $-4n^2$.

3.2 Cosmological solutions in G^n and $G \ln G$-gravity

For $L = G^n$, i.e., with $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 1$, eq. (3.5) reduces to

$$0 = (n-1) \left((1+\gamma)^2 - n(\gamma' + 4\gamma + 4\gamma^2) \right). \tag{3.13}$$

Obviously, this equation reduces to $0 = 0$ if we put $n = 1$ in accordance with the fact, that G represents a divergence.

---

[7] This latter possibility is a consequence of the fact that the Euclidean 3-space is self-similar. Neither the closed nor the open Friedmann models share this property.

However, we may also do the following: replace $\beta^* = \beta \cdot (n-1)$ in eq. (3.5) for $n \neq 1$. Then we apply the limit $n \to 1$ to eq. (3.5) and get after multiplication by $-(1+\gamma)$ the following non-trivial result:

$$0 = 3\alpha(1+\gamma)\left(6\gamma + 3\gamma^2 + 2\gamma'\right) - 2\beta^*\left(1 - 2\gamma - 3\gamma^2 - \gamma'\right) . \tag{3.14}$$

If we now put $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta^* = 1$ and divide by -2, we get the equation

$$0 = 1 - 2\gamma - 3\gamma^2 - \gamma' \, , \tag{3.15}$$

which is just the relevant equation for the Lagrangian $L = G \ln G$, see eq. (4.6) of [17]. Of course, if we insert $L = G \ln G$ directly into eq. (3.4), we also get eq. (3.15).

We now make the analogous calculations as in the previous section. To begin we insert $\gamma = -1/p$ into eq. (3.13) for $n \neq 1$ and get

$$0 = p^2 - 2p(1-2n) + 1 - 4n \tag{3.16}$$

which possesses two solutions $p = 1$ and $p = 1 - 4n$. These solutions coincide with analogous solutions in [5] – see eq. (30). There is a degenerate solution $p = 1$ in the irrelevant case $n = 0$. For $n = 1$ we can insert $\gamma = -1/p$ into eq. (3.15) and get solutions $p = 1$ and $p = -3$. One gets the same results if one puts $n = 1$ directly into the previously mentioned solutions of eq. (3.16). This property once more confirms the correctness of the claim that, in this context, the limit of G^n as $n \to 1$ is equal to $G \ln G$ and not G. Also the singularity of $G \ln G$ at $G = 0$ is a mild one.

There is no value of n for which a de Sitter solution exists for $L = G^n$. For every value $n \neq 0$, there exist two different self-similar solutions: $a(t) = t$ and $a(t) = t^{1-4n}$. All other solutions are not self-similar. For $n \neq 1/4$ there are three of them, in case of $n = 1/4$ only two of them. Every of these not self-similar solution possesses these two self-similar solutions as asymptotic limits.

Now we go into further details. For $n(n-1) \neq 0$ we divide eq. (3.13) by $-n(n-1)$ and get

$$0 = \gamma' + 4\gamma + 4\gamma^2 - (1+\gamma)^2/n. \tag{3.17}$$

In the limit $n \to \pm\infty$ we get, similar as in the preceding subsection, a sensible equation, namely

$$0 = \gamma' + 4\gamma + 4\gamma^2. \tag{3.18}$$

Besides the solution $\gamma = -1$ valid for all values n, we have the additional constant solutions: (i) for eq. (3.18) we have $\gamma = 0$ which is a de Sitter solution; (ii) for eq. (3.17) with $n \neq 1/4$ we have $\gamma = 1/(4n-1)$. This becomes directly obvious if we rewrite eq. (3.17) as

$$0 = \gamma' + (1+\gamma) \cdot ((4n-1)\gamma - 1)/n. \tag{3.19}$$

For $n = 1/4$, eq. (3.17) simplifies by the vanishing of its quadratic part in γ, and we get

$$0 = \gamma' - 4 - 4\gamma. \tag{3.20}$$

The solution of eq. (3.20) reads $\gamma(\tau) = c_1 \cdot e^{4\tau} - 1$ with an arbitrary constant c_1. The case $c_1 = 0$ is already covered above by $a(t) = t$. For $c_1 \neq 0$ we can achieve $c_1 = \pm 1$ by a suitable translation of τ corresponding to a scale-transformation of the metric. Inserting $a = e^{\tau}$ we then get for γ from eq. (3.2)

$$\gamma = \pm a^4 - 1 = \dot{h}\ h^{-2} \equiv a\ \ddot{a}/(\dot{a})^2 - 1.$$

This leads to $\pm \dot{a} a^3 = \ddot{a}/\dot{a}$ which can be integrated once to $c \pm a^4/4 = \ln(\dot{a})$ with an arbitrary constant c. Thus

$$\dot{a} = \exp(c \pm a^4/4).$$

Obviously, by a suitable rescaling of x, y and z we can get $c = 0$, so the only two non-self-similar solutions for the case $n = 1/4$ are

$$\dot{a} = \exp(\pm a^4/4). \tag{3.21}$$

The final integration is not possible in closed form, but the asymptotic behaviour is obvious from eq. (3.21): For $a \to 0$ we have $\dot{a} \to 1$, so the PLI-solution $a(t) = t$ is the typical behaviour near the singularity. For $a \to \infty$ and the upper sign, $\dot{a}$ becomes very large, so we have a Big rip singularity in the finite future. For the lower sign, however, $\dot{a} \to 0$, the scale factor $a(t)$ remains regular along the whole positive t-axis and approaches $a(t) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$.

Let now n be different from 0 and 1/4. Then $p = 1$ and $p = 1 - 4n$ are both different from each other and different from zero. The related values of constant $\gamma = -1/p$ are $\gamma = -1$ and $\gamma = 1/(4n-1)$.

First case:[8] $n > 1/4$, then $1/(4n-1)$ is positive. The 3 non-self-similar solutions can be characterized by the magnitude of the values, which the parameter γ is covering: one contains $\gamma = 0$, the second one contains very large positive values γ, and the third one contains values $\gamma < -1$. They behave as follows: That one containing $\gamma = 0$ has an initial singularity ($a \to 0$) of $a(t) = t$-type[9] and approaches $a(t) = |t|^{1-4n}$ for $a \to \infty$ representing a Big rip singularity. That one with very large values γ starts from an initial singularity (but *not* of $a(t) = t$-type) and also approaches $a(t) = |t|^{1-4n}$ for $a \to \infty$. The third one, containing the values $\gamma < -1$ has an initial singularity of $a(t) = t$-type and approaches another singularity for large values of $a(t)$. In sum: the exact solution $a(t) = a_0 \cdot |t|^{1-4n}$ represents a local attractor for $a \to \infty$, and the limit $n \to 1/4$ is compatible with the result $a(t) \to a_0$ deduced there, see eq. (3.21).

---

[8] For the special case $n = 1$ this can already be found in section 4 of [17].

The second case is $0 < n < 1/4$, which is equivalent to $1/(4n-1) < -1$. One solution starts from $a(t) = t$ at $t \to 0$ and has another future singularity, the second one also starts from $a(t) = t$ but approaches $a(t) = t^{1-4n}$ for $t \to \infty$. The third one starts from another type of singularity but also approaches $a(t) = t^{1-4n}$ for $t \to \infty$. Result: The exact solution $a(t) = a_0 \cdot t^{1-4n}$ represents a local attractor for $t \to \infty$.

The third case is $n < 0$ which is equivalent to $-1 < 1/(4n-1) < 0$. The intermediate calculations are different from the preceding, second case, but the end results for the behaviour of $a(t)$ are surprisingly the same.

3.3 Cosmological solutions in $\alpha R^{2n} + \beta G^n$-gravity

Here we look for solutions of eq. (3.5) with $\alpha\beta \neq 0$ and $n \neq 1$. Due to the fact, that α and β are free constants, there is a multitude of solutions, even if it is clear that essentially only the quotient α/β plays a role.

To make any head way to getting some idea of what are the interesting solutions for this case one should find some motivation for choosing specific values of α, β, n. One possible idea is the following: one could use that values of n, where the power t^{1-4n} for the G^n model coincides with the power p_0 from eq. (3.8) from the in R^{2n}-model. However, this takes place for $n = 1/4$ which implies $p_0 = 0$ which is one of the excluded cases. For the present we leave further detailed consideration of this case to possible future work if/when an argument can be found to selecting a restricted set of the parameters α, β, n.

---

[9] This statement means that in a series expansion of $a(t)$, the linear term is the leading one near $t \approx 0$, which represents the minimal requirement for a phase of power-law expansion.

3.4 Cosmological solutions in $\alpha R^2 + \beta G \ln G$-gravity

Now we put $L = \alpha R^2 + \beta G \ln G$ into eq. (3.4) and get

$$0 = 3\alpha(1+\gamma)\left(6\gamma + 3\gamma^2 + 2\gamma'\right) - 2\beta\left(1 - 2\gamma - 3\gamma^2 - \gamma'\right) , \tag{3.22}$$

which is identical to eq. (3.14) with β^* replaced by β. We look for solutions of eq. (3.22) with $\alpha\beta \neq 0$. To this end, we insert $\gamma = -1/p$ into eq. (3.22). Without loss of generality we assume $\alpha = 1/3$ which transforms eq. (3.22) to

$$0 = (1 - 1/p)\left(-6/p + 3/p^2\right) - 2\beta\left(1 + 2/p - 3/p^2\right) . \tag{3.23}$$

The leading term in the limit $p \to \infty$ of eq. (3.23) is $0 = -6/p - 2\beta$. If we insert $\beta = -3/p$ into eq. (3.23), then we get qualitatively the following result: A very small negative value of the parameter β in the Lagrangian $L = R^2/3 + \beta G \ln G$ leads to the replacement of the exact de Sitter solution from $L = R^2$, which is a local attractor, to a PLI exact solution $a(t) = t^p = t^{-3/\beta}$ which also represents a local attractor. This shows how one can modify the dynamics from de Sitter to power-law inflation by the addition of the $G \ln G$-term.

We also can do this without any approximation as follows: We ask for what values of the parameter β in the Lagrangian $L = R^2/3 + \beta G \ln G$ the scale factor $a(t) = t^p$ represents an exact solution: This occurs when $\beta = -3(2p-1)/(2p(p+3))$.

4 Solutions in terms of elliptic functions

In this section we show that for a certain subset of the general isotropic cosmologies in fourth-order gravity given above it is possible to integrate the gravitational field equation (3.5) to get a closed form, exact solution for the Friedmann scale factor $a(t)$. It is found that for a broad range of these cases where we are able to fully integrate eq. (3.5) that the scale factor, $a(t)$, is given by elliptic functions – hypergeometric functions ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$ and incomplete Gamma function, $\Gamma(a, z)$. We try, as far as possible, to give a physical interpretation of these solutions paying attention to the early and late time behavior.

4.1 Solutions for the $\gamma = \text{const.}$ case

Before moving to the solution to the general eq. (3.5) we first examine the case when $d\gamma/d\tau = 0$ in eq. (3.3). This implies $\gamma = \text{const.}$ so that eq. (3.2) becomes

$$\gamma = -\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{h}\right) \rightarrow h(t) = \frac{1}{k - \gamma t} \ , \tag{4.1}$$

where k is an integration constant. Next using this solution for $h(t)$ in $\dot{a}(t)/a(t) = h(t)$ and integrating gives

$$\ln(a(t)) = \ln\left(k - t\gamma\right)^{-1/\gamma} \rightarrow a(t) = \left(k - t\gamma\right)^{-1/\gamma} \ , \tag{4.2}$$

thus we see in this case $a(t)$ is a power law of t i.e. $a(t) \propto t^n$. So that $a(t)$ will increase with t and also to avoid having $k - t\gamma$ become negative for some t one needs to require $\gamma < 0$ so that for large t we will have $a(t) \propto (|\gamma| t)^{1/|\gamma|}$. Thus we find that for $\gamma = \text{const.}$ $a(t)$ is a simple power-law of t.

In order for $\gamma = \text{const.}$ to be a possible solution one needs to insure that there is a solution to eq. (3.6) such that $A\gamma^2 + B\gamma + C = 0$, where A, B, C are constants determined by n, α, β. If there is no solution to $A\gamma^2 + B\gamma + C = 0$ then the $\gamma = \text{const.}$ is not possible. If it happens that $\gamma = -2$ or $\gamma = -3/2$ then the expansion law will be that of radiation or pressure-less dust respectively.

4.2 Exact solution for the case $L = \alpha R^{2n}$

In the case when the Lagrange density is given by $L = \alpha R^{2n}$ the field equation becomes eq. (3.6) which can be written as

$$\gamma' = A\gamma^2 + B\gamma + C \tag{4.3}$$

where the prime is differentiation with respect to τ and the coefficients A, B, C are given in terms of n as

$$A = -\frac{4n-1}{2n} \quad ; \quad B = -\frac{16n^2 - 14n + 4}{2n(2n-1)} \quad ; \quad C = \frac{2n-2}{n(2n-1)} \tag{4.4}$$

The solution to eq. (4.3) is

$$\tau = \int \frac{d\gamma}{A\gamma^2 + B\gamma + C} = \frac{2}{D} \arctan\left(\frac{B + 2A\gamma}{D}\right) \tag{4.5}$$

where $D = \sqrt{-B^2 + 4AC}$. Inverting eq. (4.5) gives

$$\gamma(\tau) = \frac{D}{2A} \tan\left(\frac{D\tau}{2}\right) - \frac{B}{2A} \ . \tag{4.6}$$

Now taking into account the form of A, B, C from eq. (4.4) one can show that for all n that $-B^2 + 4AC \leq -0$ so that $D = \sqrt{-B^2 + 4AC}$ is imaginary (for $n = 5/8$ the equality holds and $D = 0$). Thus in eq. (4.6) we replace D with iD_1 with $D_1 = \sqrt{B^2 - 4AC}$ and taking into account that $\tan(iD_1) = i \tanh(D_1)$ we find that eq. (4.6) becomes

$$\gamma(\tau) = -\frac{D_1}{2A} \tanh\left(\frac{D_1\tau}{2}\right) - \frac{B}{2A} \ . \tag{4.7}$$

In the case when $n = 5/8$ we have $\gamma(\tau) = -B/2A =$ const. which was already discussed. In detail for $n = 5/8$ we find $\gamma(\tau) = -2$ which implies $a(t) \propto t^{1/2}$ which is the expansion law for a relativistic gas with an equation of state $p/\rho = 1/3$.

Next, we use this $\gamma(\tau)$ from eq. (4.7) to solve the following equation for $h = \dot{a}/a$ (where the overdot is differentiation with respect to t)

$$\gamma(\tau) = -\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{h}\right) = -\frac{d\tau}{dt}\frac{d}{d\tau}\left(\frac{1}{h}\right) = \frac{1}{h}\frac{dh}{d\tau} \ , \tag{4.8}$$

where we have used $h = d\tau/dt$ in arriving at the final result. Now we integrate eq. (4.8) for $\gamma(\tau)$ from eq. (4.6) which yields

$$\ln(h(\tau)) = -\frac{1}{A} \ln\left[\cosh\left(\frac{D_1\tau}{2}\right)\right] - \frac{B}{2A}\tau \ , \tag{4.9}$$

or solving for $h(\tau)$ gives

$$h(\tau) = \left[\cosh\left(\frac{D_1\tau}{2}\right)\right]^{-1/A} \exp\left(-\frac{B}{2A}\tau\right) \ . \tag{4.10}$$

Now using $h(t) = \dot{a}(t)/a(t)$ for the l.h.s and $\tau = \ln[a(t)]$ in the r.h.s., eq. (4.10) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\dot{a}}{a} &= \left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\exp\left(\frac{D_1 \ln(a)}{2}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{D_1 \ln(a)}{2}\right)\right)\right]^{-1/A} \exp\left(-\frac{B}{2A}\ln(a)\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1/A} \left(a^{(D_1+B)/2} + a^{(-D_1+B)/2}\right)^{-1/A} \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Finally we can integrate eq. (4.11) giving

$$\begin{aligned} \int dt &= t = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/A} \int da \left[a^{-1}\left(a^{(D_1+B)/2} + a^{(-D_1+B)/2}\right)^{1/A}\right] \\ &= \frac{2^{(A-1)/A}A}{B-D_1} a^{\frac{B-D_1}{2A}}(t)\ {}_2F_1\left(\frac{B-D_1}{2AD_1}, \frac{-1}{A}; \frac{2AD_1+B-D_1}{2AD_1}; -a^{D_1}(t)\right) + k \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

where k is an integration constant and ${}_2F_1(a,b;c;z)$ is the hypergeometric function. The form of the hypergeometric functions ${}_2F_1(a,b;c;z)$ depends a lot on the values of the coefficient a, b, c and since from the last equality in eq. (4.11) these coefficients in our case can have a wide range depending on n the detailed analysis of this case may take some time.

Thus we leave the detailed discussion of this case for a future work. Suffice it to say that the exact, closed form solution for the scale factor $a(t)$ given above has a wide range of possible behaviors.

We briefly discuss the case when $A = 0$ to show that in this case the wide range of possible behaviors of $a(t)$ implied by eq. (4.12) is greatly reduced to the point where one can make concrete statements about the resulting solution. The condition $A = 0$ requires $n = 1/4$, $B = 6$, and $C = 12$. Using $A = 0$ we find that upon integration eq. (4.3) yields

$$\tau = \int \frac{d\gamma}{B\gamma + C} = \frac{\ln(C + B\gamma)}{B} = \frac{\ln(12 + 6\gamma)}{6} \tag{4.13}$$

Inverting eq. (4.13) gives

$$\gamma(\tau) = \frac{1}{6}e^{6\tau} - 2 \tag{4.14}$$

Next we integrate eq. (4.8) for $\gamma(\tau)$ from eq. (4.14)

$$\ln(h(\tau)) = \frac{1}{36}\exp(6\tau) - 2\tau\ , \tag{4.15}$$

or solving for $h(\tau)$ gives

$$h(\tau) = \exp\left(\frac{e^{6\tau}}{36}\right)\exp(-2\tau)\ , \tag{4.16}$$

Now using $h(t) = \dot{a}(t)/a(t)$ for the l.h.s and $\tau = \ln[a(t)]$ in the r.h.s. of eq. (4.16) gives

$$\frac{\dot{a}}{a} = \exp\left(\frac{e^{6\ln(a)}}{36}\right)e^{-2\ln(a)} = a^{-2}\exp\left(\frac{a^6}{36}\right) \tag{4.17}$$

Integrating eq. (4.17) gives, compare also eq. (3.11),

$$\int dt = t = -\frac{1}{6^{1/3}}\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{a^6}{36}\right) + k \tag{4.18}$$

where k is an integration constant and $\Gamma[a, z]$ is the incomplete Gamma function.

In figure 1 below we used eq. (4.18) to plot a vs. t. (i.e. we have graphically inverted this equation by plotting a as the dependent variable). From this we see the $a(t)$ diverges at finite t (i.e. $t = 1$) which implies a Big rip. In order to avoid such a late time singularity we might exclude this case.

Figure 1: Plot of $a(t)$ over t with the integration constant taken as $k = 1.5$ so that $a > 0$. One sees that $a(t)$ diverges at finite t. This implies a late-time Big rip singularity for this cosmology.

4.3 Calculations for G^n

For the case when $L = G^n$ the field equations takes again the form eq. (4.3) but with different coefficients, namely

$$A_G = \frac{1-4n}{n} \quad ; \quad B_G = \frac{2(1-2n)}{n} \quad ; \quad C_G = \frac{1}{n} \ . \tag{4.19}$$

For these values we have $D_G = \sqrt{-B_G^2 + 4A_G C_G} = 4i$ thus the solution for $\gamma(\tau)$ in eq. (4.6) becomes

$$\gamma_G(\tau) = \frac{2n}{4n-1}\tanh(2\tau) - \frac{1-2n}{1-4n} \ , \tag{4.20}$$

Following the same steps as led to eq. (4.10) we now find

$$h(\tau) = [\cosh(2\tau)]^{n/(4n-1)} \exp\left(-\frac{2(1-2n)}{1-4n}\tau\right) \ . \tag{4.21}$$

This in turn leads to an equation for $\dot{a}/a$ similar to eq. (4.12) which can be integrated as before to give

$$\begin{aligned}\int dt = t &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n/(1-4n)} \int da \left[a^{-1}\left(a^{1/n} + a^{(1-4n)/n}\right)^{n/(1-4n)}\right] \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n/(1-4n)} a(t)\ {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{n}{4n-1}; \frac{5}{4}; -a^4(t)\right) + k \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

where as before k is an integration constant and ${}_2F_1(a,b;c;z)$ is the hypergeometric function.

Figure 2: Plot of $a(t)$ over t for $L = G^n$ for $n = 2$. All values $n > 1/4$ give the same general shape. One sees that $a(t)$ expands quickly for large t.

4.4 Calculations for $L = G\ln(G)$

In this case one again deals with eq. (4.3) but now with very simple coefficients found by putting $n = 1$ in eq. (4.19). This yields $\gamma' = -3\gamma^2 - 2\gamma + 1$ and implies

$$A_{G\ln G} = -3 \quad ; \quad B_{G\ln G} = -2 \quad ; \quad C_{G\ln G} = 1 \tag{4.23}$$

and $D_{G\ln G} = i4$. Thus we can start from eq. (4.21) and with the appropriate replacements $A \to A_{G\ln G}$, $B \to B_{G\ln G}$, $C \to C_{G\ln G}$, $D_1 \to i4$ arrive at the equivalent equation as eq. (4.11)

$$\frac{\dot{a}}{a} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/3} \left(a + a^{-3}\right)^{1/3} \tag{4.24}$$

Integrating eq. (4.22) gives

$$\int dt = t = 2^{1/3} \int da \left[a^{-1} \left(a + a^{-3}\right)^{-1/3}\right] = 2^{1/3} a(t)\, {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{3}; \frac{5}{4}; -a^4(t)\right) + k \tag{4.25}$$

where k is an integration constant and ${}_2F_1(a,b;c;z)$ is the hypergeometric function. The function $a(t)$ vs. t looks similar to the case when $L = G^n$ as given in figure 2.

4.5 Calculations for $L = \alpha R^{2n} + \beta G^n$

In this case eq. (3.6) is not of the simply form of eq. (4.3) and thus the analysis will be different and much harder. For general n it will probably not be possible to get closed forms like in the previous cases.

5 Summary and conclusion

In the introduction we had introduced the notions of scale-invariant and almost scale-invariant Lagrangians. Let us here repeat the definitions in words instead of formulas as we did in section 1: A Lagrangian is scale-invariant if by a change of the length scale, the Lagrangian will be multiplied by an appropriate factor. A Lagrangian is almost scale-invariant if by a change of the length scale, the Lagrangian will be multiplied by an appropriate factor together with the addition of a total divergence not changing the field equation. In our circumstances it turned out, that every almost scale-invariant Lagrangian can be written as limit of a sum of scale-invariant Lagrangians and total divergences. We conjecture, that this coincidence, which was formerly already found in 2-dimensional gravity – see [84] for a more general discussion of this point, has a more general range of applicability.

A related notion is the notion of a scale-invariant action: The action $I = \int L\sqrt{-g}d^4x$ is called scale-invariant, if I does not change at all by a change of the scale. Further, I is called almost scale-invariant, if I only changes through the addition of a topological term by a change of the scale. For the Lagrangians discussed above, only $L = \alpha R^2$ leads to a scale-invariant action, and only $L = \alpha R^2 + \gamma G \ln G$ leads to an almost scale-invariant action. This is a strong argument for further detailed study of the gravitational Lagrangian

$$L_g = \Lambda + R + \alpha R^2 + \beta C_{ijkl}C^{ijkl} + \gamma G \ln G \tag{5.1}$$

is being worth considered in more details than done up to now. Of course, the term $\beta C_{ijkl}C^{ijkl}$ – see [82] – by itself has a scale-invariant action, but we did not consider it in this paper, as it has no influence to the field equation within the Friedmann models. The terms Λ and R are added here since in the weak field limit such terms appear effectively. Of course the inclusion of matter will change the behaviour of the cosmological solutions discussed in this paper, but in the early stages of the Universe, with matter in the form of dust or radiation, the behaviour of the solutions above will only be marginally modified by the presence of the matter. The behaviour described in this paper will thus essentially correctly describe the dynamics.

Finally, let us reformulate one of the key results of this work given in subsection 3.4 which is closely related to analogous calculations done for higher dimensions in [87]: For $p > 0$, the Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{1}{3} \cdot R^2 - \frac{3}{p+3} \cdot \frac{2p-1}{2p} \cdot G \ln G$$

has the spatially flat Friedmann with scale factor $a(t) = t^p$ as exact vacuum solution. For large values p this is a local attractor solution and it represents a model for power-law inflation.

Acknowledgements

Useful comments by S. Deser and S. Odintsov are gratefully acknowledged. DS acknowledges the support of a DAAD (Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst) grant to do research at Universität Potsdam.

References

[1] D. Boulware, S. Deser, String generated gravity models, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55** (1985) 2656.

[2] J. Lidsey, S. Nojiri, and S. Odintsov, Braneworld cosmology in (anti)-de Sitter Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet-Maxwell gravity, J. High Energy Phys. JHEP **06** (2002) 026; arXiv:hep-th/0202198v2.

[3] S. Nojiri and S. Odintsov, Modified Gauss-Bonnet theory as a gravitational alternative for dark energy, Phys. Lett. B **631** (2005) 1; arXiv:hep-th/0508049v2.

[4] S. Deser, A. Ryshov, Curvature invariants of static spherically symmetric geometries, Class. Quant. Grav. **22** (2005) 3315; arXiv:gr-qc/0505039.

[5] G. Cognola, E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, S. Odintsov, and S. Zerbini, Dark energy in modified Gauss-Bonnet gravity: late-time acceleration and the hierarchy problem, Phys. Rev. D **73** (2006) 084007; arXiv:hep-th/0601008v2.

[6] S. Nojiri, S. Odintsov, and P. Tretyakov, Dark energy from modified $F(R)$-scalar-Gauss-Bonnet gravity, Phys. Lett. B **651** (2007) 224; arXiv:0704.2520v3 [hep-th].

[7] B. Li, J. Barrow, and D. Mota, The cosmology of modified Gauss-Bonnet gravity, Phys. Rev. D **76** (2007) 044027; arXiv:0705.3795v3 [gr-qc].

[8] G. Cognola, M. Gastaldi, and S. Zerbini, On the stability of a class of modified gravitational models, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **47** (2008) 898.

[9] G. Cognola and S. Zerbini, Homogeneous cosmologies in generalized modified gravity, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **47** (2008) 3186; arXiv:0802.3967v1 [hep-th].

[10] S. Mazharimousavi, O. Gurtug, and M. Halilsoy, Generating static spherically symmetric black-holes in Lovelock gravity, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **18** (2009) 2061; arXiv:0809.3649v3 [gr-qc].

[11] K. Uddin, J. Lidsey, and R. Tavakol, Cosmological scaling solutions in generalised Gauss-Bonnet gravity theories, Gen. Relat. Grav. **41** (2009) 2725.

[12] T. Takahashi and J. Soda, Master equations for gravitational perturbations of static Lovelock black holes in higher dimensions, Prog. Theor. Phys. **124** (2010) 911.

[13] H. Mohseni Sadjadi, Cosmological entropy, and thermodynamics second law in $F(R, G)$ gravity, Europhys. Lett. **92** (2010) 50014; arXiv:1009.2941v1 [gr-qc].

[14] A. de Felice and T. Tanaka, Inevitable ghost and the degrees of freedom in $f(R, G)$ gravity, Prog. Theor. Phys. **124** (2010) 503; arXiv:1006.4399v2 [astro-ph.CO].

[15] A. de Felice, T. Suyama, and T. Tanaka, Stability of Schwarzschild-like solutions in $f(R, G)$ gravity models, Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 104035; arXiv:1102.1521v1 [gr-qc].

[16] S. Mazharimousavi, M. Halilsoy, and Z. Amirabi, Higher-dimensional thin-shell wormholes in Einstein-Yang-Mills-Gauss-Bonnet gravity, Class. Quant. Grav. **28** (2011) 025004.

[17] H.-J. Schmidt, Gauss-Bonnet Lagrangian $G \ln G$ and cosmological exact solutions, Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 083513; arXiv:1102.0241v2 [gr-qc].

[18] A. Yale and T. Padmanabhan, Structure of Lanczos-Lovelock lagrangians in critical dimensions, Gen. Relat. Grav. **43** (2011) 1549.

[19] A. Rastkar, M. Setare, and F. Darabi, Phantom phase power-law solution in $f(G)$ gravity, arXiv:1104.1904v2 [gr-qc]; Astroph. Space Sc. **337** (2012) 487.

[20] M. Ivanov and A. Toporensky, Cosmological dynamics of fourth order gravity with a Gauss-Bonnet term, Grav. Cosmol. **18** (2012) 43; arXiv:1106.5179v2 [gr-qc].

[21] T. Takahashi and J. Soda, Pathologies in Lovelock AdS black branes and AdS/CFT, Class. Quant. Grav. **29** (2012) 035008.

[22] M. Setare and N. Mohammadipour, Cosmography in $F(G)$ modified gravity, (2012) arXiv:1206.0245v1 [physics.gen-ph]. M. Setare and N. Mohammadipour: Cosmological viability conditions for $f(T)$ dark energy models, accepted for JCAP; arXiv:1211.1375v1 [gr-qc] (2012).

[23] I. Roxburgh, Nonlinear lagrangian theories of gravity, Gen. Relat. Grav. **8** (1977) 219.

[24] H. Buchdahl, The field equation generated by the square of the scalar curvature: solutions of Kasner type, J. Phys. A **11** (1978) 871.

[25] A. A. Starobinsky: A new type of isotropic cosmological model without singularity, Phys. Lett. **B 91** (1980) 99. V. Müller and H.-J. Schmidt, On Bianchi type I vacuum solutions in $R + R^2$ theories of gravitation I. The isotropic case, Gen. Relat. Grav. **17** (1985) 769. A. A. Starobinsky and H.-J. Schmidt, On a general vacuum solution of fourth order gravity, Class. Quant. Grav. **4** (1987) 695.

[26] V. Müller, H.-J. Schmidt, and A. A. Starobinsky, The stability of the de Sitter space-time in fourth order gravity, Phys. Lett. B **202** (1988) 198. H.-J. Schmidt, The de Sitter spacetime as an attractor solution in fourth–order gravity, Class. Quant. Grav. **5** (1988) 233. S. Gottlöber, V. Müller, H.-J. Schmidt, and A. A. Starobinsky, Models of chaotic Inflation, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **1** (1992) 257.

[27] H.-J. Schmidt, Fourth-order gravity and conformal transformations, Class. Quant. Grav. **6** (1989) 557.

[28] H.-J. Schmidt, New exact solutions for power-law inflation Friedmann models, Astron. Nachr. **311** (1990) 165; arXiv:gr-qc/0109004.

[29] H.-J. Schmidt, Stability and Hamiltonian formulation of higher derivative theories, Phys. Rev. D **49** (1994) 6354; Erratum **54** (1996) 7906; arXiv:gr-qc/9404038.

[30] H. Kleinert and H.-J. Schmidt, Cosmology with curvature-saturated gravitational lagrangian $R/\sqrt{1+l^4R^2}$, Gen. Relat. Grav. **34** (2002) 1295; arXiv:gr-qc/0006074.

[31] T. Clifton and J. Barrow, The existence of Gödel, Einstein and de Sitter Universes, Phys. Rev. D **72** (2005) 123003; arXiv:gr-qc/0511076. T. Clifton and J. Barrow, The power of General Relativity, Phys. Rev. D **72** (2005) 103005; arXiv:gr-qc/0509059.

[32] S. Carloni, P. Dunsby, S. Capozziello, and A. Troisi, Cosmological dynamics of R^n gravity, Class. Quant. Grav. **22** (2005) 4839; arXiv:gr-qc/0410046.

[33] M. Farhoudi, On higher order gravities, their analogy to GR, and dimensional dependent version of Duff's trace anomaly relation, Gen. Relat. Grav. **38** (2006) 1261; arXiv:physics/0509210.

[34] T. Biswas, R. Brandenberger, A. Mazumdar, and W. Siegel, Non-perturbative gravity, Hagedorn bounce and CMB, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. JCAP **12** (2007) 011; hep-th/0610274.

[35] H.-J. Schmidt, Fourth order gravity: equations, history, and application to cosmology, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **4** (2007) 209; arXiv:gr-qc/0602017.

[36] S. Capozziello, P. Martin-Moruno, and C. Rubano, Exact $f(R)$-cosmological model coming from the request of the existence of a Noether symmetry, (2008) arXiv:0812.2138v1 [gr-qc].

[37] W. Kao and I. Lin, Anisotropically inflating universes in a scalar-tensor theory, Phys. Rev. D **79** (2009) 043001.

[38] K. Andrzejewski, J. Gonera, P. Machalski, and P. Maslanka, Modified Hamiltonian formalism for higher-derivative theories, Phys. Rev. D **82** (2010) 045008; arXiv:1005.3941v4 [hep-th].

[39] S. Appleby, R. Battye, and A. A. Starobinsky, Curing singularities in cosmological evolution of $F(R)$ gravity, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. JCAP **06** (2010) 005; arXiv:0909.1737v2 [astro-ph.CO].

[40] K. Bamba, C. Geng, and C. Lee, Cosmological evolution in exponential gravity, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. JCAP **08** (2010) 021; arXiv:1005.4574v3 [astro-ph.CO].

[41] K. Bamba, C. Geng, and C. Lee, Generic feature of future crossing of phantom divide in viable $f(R)$ gravity models, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. JCAP **11** (2010) 001; arXiv:1007.0482v2 [astro-ph.CO].

[42] K. Bamba, C. Geng, and S. Tsujikawa, Equilibrium thermodynamics in modified gravitational theories, Phys. Lett. B **688** (2010) 101; arXiv:0909.2159v3 [gr-qc].

[43] C. Batista and W. Zimdahl, Power-law solutions and accelerated expansion in scalar-tensor theories, Phys. Rev. D **82** (2010) 023527; arXiv:0912.0998v2 [astro-ph.CO].

[44] S. Carloni, Covariant gauge invariant theory of scalar perturbations in $f(R)$-gravity: a brief review, arXiv:1002.3868v1 [gr-qc]; Open Astron. J. **3** (2010) 76.

[45] A. de Felice and S. Tsujikawa, $f(R)$ theories, Living Rev. Rel. **13** (2010) 3; arXiv:1002.4928v2 [gr-qc].

[46] A. Guarnizo, L. Castaneda, and J. Tejeiro, Boundary term in metric $f(R)$ gravity: field equations in the metric formalism, Gen. Relat. Grav. **42** (2010) 2713; arXiv:1002.0617v3 [gr-qc].

[47] T. Harko and F. Lobo, $f(R, L_m)$ gravity, Eur. Phys. J. C **70** (2010) 373; arXiv:1008.4193v2 [gr-qc]. T. Harko, F. Lobo, and O. Minazzoli: Extended $f(R, L_m)$ gravity with generalized scalar field and kinetic term dependences, arXiv:1210.4218v1 [gr-qc] (2012).

[48] S. Kaneda, S. Ketov, and N. Watanabe, Fourth-order gravity as the inflationary model revisited, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **25** (2010) 2753; arXiv:1001.5118v4 [hep-th].

[49] J. Middleton, On the existence of anisotropic cosmological models in higher-order theories of gravity, Class. Quant. Grav. **27** (2010) 225013; arXiv:1007.4669v1 [gr-qc].

[50] T. Multamäki, J. Vainio, and I. Vilja, Hamiltonian perturbation theory in $f(R)$ gravity, Phys. Rev. D **81** (2010) 064025; arXiv:0910.5659v1 [gr-qc].

[51] K. Nozari and N. Alipour, Phantom mimicry on the normal branch of a DGP-inspired braneworld scenario with curvature effect, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **25** (2010) 189; arXiv:0911.3340v1 [gr-qc].

[52] W. Nelson, Restricting fourth order gravity via cosmology, Phys. Rev. D **82** (2010) 124044; arXiv:1012.3353v1 [gr-qc].

[53] W. Nelson, Static solutions for 4th order gravity, Phys. Rev. D **82** (2010) 104026; arXiv:1010.3986v1 [gr-qc] (2010).

[54] T. Saidov and A. Zhuk, Bouncing inflation in nonlinear $R^2 + R^4$ gravitational model, Phys. Rev. D **81** (2010) 124002; arXiv:1002.4138v1 [hep-th].

[55] S. Tsujikawa, Modified gravity models of dark energy, Lect. Notes Phys. **800** (2010) 99; arXiv:1101.0191v1 [gr-qc].

[56] F. Bemfica and M. Gomes, Fourth order spatial derivative gravity, Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011) 084022; arXiv:1108.5979v2 [hep-th].

[57] O. Bertolami, P. Frazao, and J. Paramos, Reheating via a generalized non-minimal coupling of curvature to matter, Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 044010; arXiv:1010.2698v2 [gr-qc].

[58] S. Camera, A. Diaferio, and V. Cardone, Tomography from the next generation of cosmic shear experiments for viable $f(R)$ models, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. JCAP **07** (2011) 016; arXiv:1104.2740v2 [astro-ph.CO].

[59] S. Capozziello, N. Carlevaro, M. De Laurentis, M. Lattanzi, and G. Montani, Cosmological implications of a viable non-analytical $f(R)$-gravity model, (2011) arXiv:1104.2169v1 [astro-ph.CO].

[60] N. Carlevaro, G. Montani, and M. Lattanzi, On the viability of a non-analytical $f(R)$-theory, p.227 in: "Cosmology, Quantum Vacuum and Zeta Functions", S. Odintsov, D. Saez-Gomez, and S. Xambo-Descamps (Eds.), Springer Berlin (2011); arXiv:1007.5397v1 [gr-qc].

[61] V. Faraoni, Horizons and singularity in Clifton's spherical solution of $f(R)$ vacuum, p. 173 in: "Cosmology, Quantum Vacuum and Zeta Functions", Eds.: S. Odintsov, D. Saez-Gomez, and S. Xambo-Descamps, Springer Berlin (2011); arXiv:1005.5397v1 [gr-qc].

[62] V. Faraoni, R^n gravity and the chameleon, Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 124044; arXiv:1106.0328v1 [gr-qc].

[63] G. Leon and E. Saridakis, Dynamics of the anisotropic Kantowski-Sachs geometries in R^n gravity, Class. Quant. Grav. **28** (2011) 065008; arXiv:1007.3956v2 [gr-qc].

[64] D. Müller, Homogeneous solutions of quadratic gravity, (2011) arXiv:1107.3570v1 [gr-qc].

[65] D. Müller, M. Alves, and J. de Araujo, Gravitational waves from isotropisation process in quadratic gravity, arXiv:1103.3439v1 [gr-qc] (2011).

[66] S. Nojiri, S. Odintsov, Unified cosmic history in modified gravity: from $F(R)$ theory to Lorentz non-invariant models, arXiv:1011.0544v3 [gr-qc]; Phys. Reports **505** (2011) 59.

[67] G. Olmo and H. Sanchis-Alepuz, Hamiltonian formulation of Palatini $f(R)$ theories a la Brans-Dicke, Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 104036; arXiv:1101.3403v1 [gr-qc] (2011).

[68] A. Ziaie, K. Atazadeh, and S. Rasouli, Naked singularity formation in $f(R)$ gravity, Gen. Relat. Grav. **43** (2011) 2943; arXiv:1106.5638v1 [gr-qc].

[69] J. de Deus and D. Müller, Bianchi VII_A solutions of quadratic gravity, arXiv:1103.5450v2 [gr-qc]; Gen. Relat. Grav. **44** (2012) 1459.

[70] S. Capozziello and S. Vignolo, The Cauchy problem for $f(R)$-gravity: an overview, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **9** (2012)

1250006; arXiv:1103.2302v1 [gr-qc]. S. Capozziello, M. De Laurentis, and S. Odintsov, Hamiltonian dynamics and Noether symmetries in extended gravity cosmology, Europ. Phys. J. C **72** (2012) 2068; arXiv:1206.4842v1 [gr-qc].

[71] T. Clifton, P. Ferreira, A. Padilla, and C. Skordis, Modified gravity and cosmology, arXiv:1106.2476v3 [astro-ph.CO]; Phys. Repts. **513** (2012), 1-189.

[72] N. Kan, K. Kobayashi, and K. Shiraishi, Critical cosmology in higher order gravity, (2012) arXiv:1202.2428v1 [gr-qc].

[73] S. Hendi, B. Panah, and S. Mousavi, Some exact solutions of $F(R)$ gravity with charged (a)dS black hole interpretation, arXiv:1102.0089v4 [hep-th]; Gen. Relat. Grav. **44** (2012) 835. S. Hendi, R. Mann, N. Riazi, and B. Eslam Panah, Eguchi-Hanson like space-times in $F(R)$ gravity, (2012) arXiv:1210.3629v1 [gr-qc]; Phys. Rev. D in print. J. Fabris, R. Perez, S. Perez Bergliaffa, and N. Pinto-Neto, A Born-Infeld-like $f(R)$ gravity, arXiv:1205.3458v3 [gr-qc]; Phys. Rev. D in print.

[74] M. Asorey, E. Gorbar, and I. Shapiro, Universality and ambiguities of the conformal anomaly, Class. Quant. Grav. **21** (2004) 163; arXiv:hep-th/0307187v1.

[75] J. Barrow and J. Middleton, Stable isotropic cosmological singularities in quadratic gravity, Phys. Rev. D **75** (2007) 123515.

[76] H.-J. Schmidt, Perihelion precession for modified Newtonian gravity, Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 023512; arXiv:0803.0920v2 [gr-qc].

[77] G. Varieschi, A kinematical approach to conformal cosmology, Gen. Relat. Grav. **42** (2010) 929; arXiv:0809.4729v2 [gr-qc].

[78] Y. Brihaye and Y. Verbin, Spherical non-abelian solutions in conformal gravity, Phys. Rev. D **81** (2010) 044041; arXiv:0910.0973v1 [gr-qc].

[79] H.-J. Schmidt, Perihelion advance for orbits with large eccentricities in the Schwarzschild black hole, Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 124010; arXiv:1104.3253v2 [gr-qc].

[80] M. Farhoudi, On third order lagrangians, Weyl invariants and classical trace anomaly in six dimensions, arXiv:1107.1034v2 [gr-qc] (2011).

[81] N. Deruelle and M. Sasaki, Conformal equivalence in classical gravity: the example of "veiled" General Relativity, p. 247 in: "Cosmology, Quantum Vacuum and Zeta Functions", Eds.: S. Odintsov, D. Saez-Gomez, and S. Xambo-Descamps, Springer Berlin 2011; arXiv:1007.3563v1 [gr-qc].

[82] N. Deruelle, M. Sasaki, Y. Sendouda, and A. Youssef, Inflation with a Weyl term, or ghosts at work, J. Cosm. Astropart. Phys. JCAP **03** (2011) 04; arXiv:1012.5202v1 [gr-qc].

[83] D. Blas, M. Shaposhnikov, and D. Zenhäusern, Scale-invariant alternatives to general relativity, Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011) 044001; arXiv:1104.1392v1 [hep-th].

[84] H.-J. Schmidt, Scale-invariant gravity in two dimensions, J. Math. Phys. **32** (1991) 1562.

[85] M. Nakasone and I. Oda, Massive gravity with mass term in three dimensions, Phys. Rev. D **79** (2009)104012; arXiv:0903.1459v1 [hep-th].

[86] E. Bergshoeff, O. Hohm, and P. Townsend, Gravitons in flatland, p. 291 in: "Cosmology, Quantum Vacuum and Zeta Functions", Eds.: S. Odintsov, D. Saez-Gomez, and S. Xambo-Descamps, Springer Berlin (2011); arXiv:1007.4561v2 [hep-th].

[87] D. Chirkov and A. Toporensky, On stability of power-law solution in multidimensional Gauss-Bonnet cosmology, (2012) arXiv:1212.0484v1 [gr-qc].